

October 26, 2008

## Coping with the Credit Crisis: Certain Considerations for Boards of Directors and Senior Management of Non-U.S. Companies with Reporting Obligations in the United States

The evolving credit crisis is having an impact not only on the financial markets, but across the general economy as well, adversely affecting otherwise healthy companies outside the financial services industry in a number of ways. Boards of directors and senior management teams of companies affected by the credit crisis have had a range of issues to address, both in respect of their own access to capital (current or future) and in relation to third parties on whose operations or financial well-being the companies depend (including lenders as well as key customers or suppliers). Public reporting companies have an additional layer of considerations, as the issues they face and the actions they may need to take, or do in fact take, need to be viewed in the context of their public disclosure obligations.

We have prepared this memorandum to provide directors and senior management teams of non-U.S. companies with SEC reporting obligations with a checklist of issues that such companies should be addressing. We note that local disclosure standards also need to be considered, which are beyond the scope of this memorandum.

### Checklist of Issues to Consider

#### Take stock of the state of the business

- Re-evaluate the company's business plan for the coming 12 months and consider the impact on the business plan of projected cash flow, the limited availability of bank debt, the potential limited ability to raise equity or debt through the capital markets and the fixed component of the company's cost structure.
- Consider whether capital expenditures can be deferred.
- Consider whether elements of the company's growth strategy should be deferred and the potential impact of doing so.
- If capital expenditures and other growth activities should not be deferred, consider the adequacy of projected cash flows to fund them, potential sources of funding if there is a shortfall and contingency plans in the event planned sources are or become unavailable.

---

1285 Avenue of the Americas  
New York, New York 10019-6064  
(212) 373-3000

Fukoku Seimei Building 2nd Floor  
2-2, Uchisawaicho 2-chome  
Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo 100-001, Japan  
(81-3) 3597-8101

1615 L Street, NW  
Washington, DC 20036-5694  
(202) 223-7300

Unit 3601, Fortune Plaza Office Tower A  
No. 7 Dong Sanhuan Zhonglu  
Chao Yang District, Beijing 100020  
People's Republic of China  
(86-10) 5828-6300

Alder Castle, 10 Noble Street  
London EC2V 7JU England  
(44-20) 7367 1600

12th Fl., Hong Kong Club Building  
3A Chater Road, Central  
Hong Kong  
(852) 2536-9933

© 2008 Paul, Weiss, Rifkind, Wharton & Garrison LLP. In some jurisdictions, this advisory may be considered attorney advertising. Past representations are no guarantee of future outcomes.

- Consider whether there are opportunities to cut costs and the implications of implementing cost cutting measures.
- Consider possible factors that could contribute to adverse ratings action. Were an adverse ratings action to occur, what implications would there be under the company's credit facilities, derivatives positions or other instruments?

### **Focus on the company's current and projected liquidity needs**

- Analyze the company's liquidity needs and potential sources of capital.
  - Does the company access the commercial paper market or other short-term funding sources?
  - When do the company's term loans mature and when do the company's revolving credit arrangements terminate?
  - Does the company have "headroom" under a revolving credit facility, and does it make sense to draw down under the facility even if additional funds are not currently needed?
  - Has the company relied on the securitization markets and, if so, consider the funding implications of the current state of the market.
- Consider whether there are alternative sources of equity and debt capital.
- Consider whether there are potential covenant compliance issues.
  - Is there a likelihood that an amendment or waiver will be required from lenders under bank credit facilities?
  - For issuers with capital markets debt (e.g., high yield bonds), are there issues that could require a consent solicitation?
  - Covenant issues could arise, for example, from changes in ratings or failure to meet maintenance covenants or from breaches of incurrence tests if the company were to take unexpected measures to relieve pressures associated with current market conditions.
  - Note the impact of accounting issues (see below) on debt covenant compliance.
  - Review any material adverse change clauses.
- Consider whether assets deemed to be liquid have the expected realizable value under current market conditions.
- Consider the potential impact of higher borrowing costs.
- If the company seeks amendments, waivers or consents, consider the possibility of the debt holders demanding new lender-friendly terms and conditions, and potentially expensive amendment or consent fees.

**Consider the company's risk profile**

- Does the company conduct stress tests or other sensitivity analyses? If so, do the parameters need to be adjusted in light of recent events?
- Consider the impact of exposure to derivatives. Is the company invested in credit derivatives (e.g., CDOs), or does the company issue or buy/sell synthetic credit derivatives (e.g., credit-linked notes) or financial risk transfer products (e.g., CDSs)?
- Consider whether the company has increased counterparty default risk. This risk could arise in the context of lending arrangements or in the context of the company's operations.
- Consider what options the company would have if a lender were unable to fund its revolving commitments or a letter of credit ("LC") issuer were unable to issue new LCs or fund existing LC draws.
- Similarly, consider the extent to which the company could be adversely affected by liquidity issues affecting key suppliers, customers or other third parties. For example, a supplier might be unable to deliver raw materials or other essential supplies or a key customer might cancel or materially decrease its long-term delivery obligations. In addition to effects on operations covered by the events noted above, liquidity issues could result in reduced revenue or delays in collection of accounts receivable.
- Consider the impact of the current environment on any outsourcing arrangements.
- Will constraints on the issuances of LCs impact the company's operations, for example its transportation of goods?
- Consider whether suppliers and vendors may require changed terms because of actual or perceived changes in the company's financial condition or results of operations.
- Monitor the financial strength and credit ratings of providers of insurance – both in respect of the company's operations and for D&O coverage. Ratings downgrades of insurance providers could also trigger affirmative obligations under credit instruments to change insurers.
- Monitor the register of lenders under existing credit facilities to assess the financial strength and credit ratings of members of the lending syndicate. Ratings downgrades of lenders could trigger "yank-a-bank" rights under credit facilities to enable the company to manage increased counterparty risk by replacing struggling lenders.
- What exposure does the company have to foreign exchange movements (in particular a strengthening of the U.S. dollar)? Has this exposure been adequately hedged or do current market conditions render those arrangements inadequate?

**Consider accounting issues**

- Consider the impact of mark-to-market accounting. Consider whether additional training or updates on application of mark-to-market accounting rules or other risk metrics would be useful for the audit committee members and the internal audit team.

- Consider the impact of projected results on current and future compensation arrangements.
- Consider the adequacy of reserves.
- Consider any impairment issues.
- Consider the impact of declines in the value of investment securities and pension plan assets.
- Monitor changes to the accounting standards affecting the company generally.

**Consider if any changes should be made to internal systems, operations, controls or procedures**

- This would be a good time to consider whether existing corporate governance structures are adequate, particularly in respect of risk management. Should additional board or committee meetings be scheduled? Should the board or any of the committees be reaching out to external advisers?
- Consider whether the board should increase communication with senior management to keep the board attuned to early warning signals of adverse effects of the market turmoil on the company? Confirm that the lines of communication between senior management and the board, generally, and the audit committee, in particular, are effective.
- Are the board committee responsibilities the appropriate ones? For example, should a separate risk committee assume certain of the responsibilities of the audit committee or should certain risk-related responsibilities that have been delegated to other committees be re-allocated to the audit committee?
- Are monitoring procedures adequate, or should they be enhanced? For example, if covenant compliance is now more of an issue, are systems in place to more closely track the relevant measures, and to provide early warning of adverse developments? Consider whether employees monitoring developments have the appropriate skills and level of experience.
- Confirm that the company's disclosure controls and procedures are effective in the current environment.
- Revisit contingency plans, including crisis management procedures. Is the company prepared for public disclosure of a significant development involving its liquidity or operations (based on stress testing or otherwise)? Also, see below under "Consider communications with stakeholders."

**Consider the Company's SEC disclosure obligations**

- **MD&A.** Consider whether changes need to be made in the overview of trends or other factors affecting results. Remember that boilerplate disclosures are not what either investors or the SEC expects to see. The MD&A is the opportunity for investors to see the company through the eyes of management. Disclosure is to be

tailored to the circumstances at hand and be reflective of known trends, events and uncertainties.

- Review the liquidity and capital resources disclosure. Does the disclosure in respect of sources of liquidity and capital resources need to be revised in respect of sources of current funding, potential need for new sources of funding, potential events of default, potential calls for deposit of collateral or the like? Does the disclosure in respect of uses of capital need to be revised to reflect changes in the business plan, planned capital expenditures or commitments? Consider the impact of market and other events on the qualitative and quantitative disclosures about market risk. See the discussion below under “Drafting Considerations.”
- In considering the MD&A, note that what may have been sufficient disclosure for the prior year, as reflected in the Form 20-F may need to be updated given the further deterioration in the credit markets and the broader economy.
- **Risk factors.** Consider whether the existing risk factors in the Form 20-F are adequate or need to be updated. Changes in the MD&A may well result in corresponding changes to the risk factors. More broadly, the effects of macro-economic changes are likely to have an impact on the business and should be adequately reflected in risk factor disclosure.
- **Forward-looking statements.** Consider whether specific factors cited in the note on forward looking statements need to be updated with new or different factors, and whether the order of the factors listed should be modified based on changes in the probability of certain (previously remote) factors actually affecting results.

#### **Review opportunities and pending transactions**

- Consider whether the current crisis presents opportunities to acquire assets from distressed sellers.
- Are there pending transactions that the company may be able to renegotiate on terms more favorable to the company?
- Are there any pending transactions that are no longer in the best interests of the company to pursue?
- Are there opportunities for the company to take advantage of market conditions by repurchasing its equity or debt? Even if there are opportunities, consider whether it is an appropriate use of cash at the time. Debt instruments would need to be reviewed to determine whether buy-backs by the company or its affiliates are permissible.

#### **Consider communications with stakeholders**

- Consider what messages need to be conveyed to shareholders, employees, lenders, suppliers, key customers and other stakeholders. Be sure the messages are consistent.
- Be prepared for an increased focus on executive compensation.
- Be prepared for an increased focus on risk profile and risk management frameworks.

- Consider whether it is still appropriate to provide guidance to the market or whether it is appropriate to revise existing guidance in light of current conditions.
- Remember the company's obligations to disclose price sensitive information and the company's, management's and employees' responsibilities to avoid selective disclosure under applicable market abuse rules.
- Review the company's external communications guidelines.

#### **Review other considerations**

- Remember the purpose (ensuring transparency of and accountability for financial reporting) and coverage (certifying to content and process of financial reporting) of the CEO and CFO certifications filed as part of periodic reports.
- Remind directors and employees of the company's securities trading policy and the general prohibitions on insider trading and market manipulation. Consider that adverse developments may warrant additional blackout periods or not approving requests submitted under pre-clearance procedures.
- In view of the fall in stock prices, keep an eye on WKSJ status and F-3 eligibility, particularly F-3 shelf registration statements that need to be updated under the three-year rule adopted effective December 1, 2005 by reason of the Securities Act Reforms.
- Consider where and how cash on hand is invested.

#### **Drafting Considerations**

Although the MD&A has been required for many years (the origins date back to 1968 and the current framework dates back to 1980), in times such as these it is useful to review what the SEC expects in the MD&A and why.

Item 303 of Regulation S-K sets forth the key elements that should be covered in an MD&A. Since the revisions to the MD&A requirements in 1980, the SEC has issued various interpretive releases, including one in 1989 (Release no. 33-6835), a second in 2002 (Release no. 33-8056 (the "2002 Release")) and a third in 2003 (Release no. 33-8350 (the "2003 Release")). The MD&A is to provide investors with the information "necessary to an understanding of [a company's] financial condition, changes in financial condition and results of operations." One of the key elements of the MD&A is the discussion and analysis of "known trends, demands, commitments, events and uncertainties."

With respect to liquidity and capital resources disclosure, the SEC notes that this information is "critical to an assessment of a company's prospects for the future and even the likelihood of its survival." A company is required to include in its MD&A, to the extent material (as outlined in the 2003 Release):

- historical information regarding sources of cash and capital expenditures;
- an evaluation of the amounts and certainty of cash flows;

- the existence and timing of commitments for capital expenditures and other known and reasonably likely cash requirements;
- a discussion and analysis of known trends and uncertainties;
- indications of which balance sheet, income statement or cash flow items should be considered in assessing liquidity; and
- a discussion of prospective information regarding a company's sources of and needs for capital.

Moreover, a company should evaluate separately its ability to meet upcoming cash requirements over both the short-term and the long-term. Stating that a company has adequate cash resources generally is not sufficient, particularly if there are known material trends, or uncertainties, related to cash flow, capital resources, capital requirements or liquidity.

By way of example, in discussing use of operating cash flows to fund short-term liquidity needs, a company should address the extent to which a decrease in demand for the company's products or services would reduce available cash flow. For a company that relies on commercial paper or securitization, lack of liquidity in the market as well as the impact of a ratings downgrade or deterioration of financial ratios and other measures of financial performance would need to be addressed. (See the 2002 Release.) The SEC notes that identification of circumstances that could materially affect liquidity is necessary if they are "reasonably likely" to occur, which is lower than the standard "more likely than not."

To identify trends, demands, commitments, events and uncertainties relating to liquidity, management is to consider, by way of example, provisions in debt agreements that could trigger early payment, additional collateral support, more onerous terms or covenants, acceleration or additional financial obligations. These provisions could be tied to adverse changes in ratings, ratios, earnings, cash flow or stock price, or changes in underlying, linked or indexed reference assets. Consideration of circumstances that could impair fundamental operations or that are otherwise financially or operationally essential would also be relevant to identifying trends. (See the 2002 Release.)

With respect to financing, a company should discuss and analyze, to the extent material (as outlined in the 2003 Release):

- its external debt financing;
- use of off-balance sheet financing arrangements;
- issuance or purchase of derivatives linked to its stock;
- use of stock as a form of liquidity; and
- the potential impact of known or reasonably likely changes in ratings or ratings outlook.

This discussion is not to be limited to historical items, but rather should also focus on financings that are, or that are reasonably likely to be, available (or are of the type or types of financing that the company would want to use but that are, or are reasonably likely to be, unavailable), and the impact on cash position and liquidity should also be considered. A company is also to focus on covenants where it is, or is reasonably likely to be, in breach and the impact of covenants on the company's ability to raise additional debt.

Note that the SEC does not recognize for disclosure purposes a concept equivalent to judicial notice. This means the disclosure requirements applicable to known trends are not limited to company-specific disclosure; the trends may be industry-specific or broadly applicable (and still need to be discussed and analyzed). A company should also not hide behind macro-economic trends and uncertainties; the disclosure needs to be tailored to the company and its financial statements, and should address how the company and its financial statements are specifically affected by events occurring in, and pressures created by, the broader economy.

Finally, to the extent that any solutions involve related parties, management needs to consider the disclosure obligations under Regulation S-K Item 404 as well as the general disclosure obligations for the MD&A in respect of material related party transactions. (See the 2002 Release.)

\* \* \* \*

The foregoing list of issues is not intended to be an exhaustive list of risks and other considerations resulting from current market conditions. In particular, companies facing financial difficulties will have a range of other issues to consider, which are beyond the scope of this memorandum. Regulated entities will have additional issues, which also are beyond the scope of this memorandum.

This memorandum is not intended to provide legal advice with respect to any particular situation and no legal or business decision should be based solely on its content.