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Economic predictions for 2011 appear to offer some hope for the strengthening of the global economy; however, there is no consensus on its future. As the benefit of economic stimulus measures wears off, growth is likely to be limited in 2011, especially for the developed world. Furthermore, despite the development of emerging market economics during recent years, concerns regarding currency appreciation and inflated asset prices have mounted. Additionally, regardless of fiscal and monetary policy stimuli, unemployment continues to be a cause for concern in the coming year, as does consumer confidence. These factors, considered together, have led many to predict a period of sustained volatility.

In 2010 the economy witnessed limited growth but, contrary to previous experience, the number of large restructurings significantly decreased from last year. A notable exception was the real property sector, where commercial real estate, leisure and CMBS-related restructurings abounded. Speculation is that there will be a general increase in restructuring activity as banks resume lending and the economy continues to stabilise. One of the factors causing the decline of restructurings has been investors’ appetite for high-yield debt and ‘junk bonds’, which have allowed companies to refinance and stay afloat without the need to restructure. However, there is a risk of a bubble forming in the high-yield bond markets, as investors ignore the danger of defaults and leverage ratios increase.

In the coming years, restructuring and insolvency activity will no doubt be affected by the looming wall of corporate debt due for maturity from 2011, and in particular 2012. It is expected that lenders will find it difficult to refinance credit agreements at high leverage ratios and, therefore, companies will be forced to renegotiate the terms of their debt instruments.

Moving forward, of particular importance is the introduction of new regulatory regimes, in particular Basel III. These reforms will hopefully lead to more stability in the financial markets and, as a result, will restore confidence which will be a driving force in future restructuring activity.

The 2011 edition of Getting the Deal Through – Restructuring & Insolvency addresses the statutory framework in which the market operates as well as the legal position within each of 50 jurisdictions across the globe on these issues.
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1 Legislation
What legislation is applicable to bankruptcies and reorganisations?

Title 11 of the United States Code (the Bankruptcy Code) governs bankruptcies and reorganisations in the United States. A federal statute, the Bankruptcy Code pre-empts state laws governing insolvency and restructuring of debtor–creditor relationships.

2 Excluded entities
What entities are excluded from general bankruptcy proceedings and what legislation applies to them?

A debtor must have a domicile, residence, place of business or property in the United States to be eligible for relief under the Bankruptcy Code. Eligible debtors include corporations, partnerships, limited liability companies, other business organisations and individuals. Specialised provisions apply to municipalities, railways, stockbrokers, commodity brokers, clearing banks, family farmers and fishermen. Domestic insurance companies, most domestic banks, similar financial institutions and small business investment companies licensed by the Small Business Administration are excluded. State regulators have jurisdiction over insolvent insurance companies and state-chartered financial institutions. Federal regulators have jurisdiction over federally chartered financial institutions.

3 Secured lending and credit (immovable)
What principal types of security are taken on immovable (real) property?

The mortgage constitutes the principal form of security device for real property and may extend to rents, proceeds and fixtures. Other real property security devices exist, including the deed of trust and land sale contract.

4 Secured lending and credit (moveable)
What principal types of security are taken on moveable (personal) property?

The security interest constitutes the principal security device taken on moveable property. Article 9 of the Uniform Commercial Code (the UCC), enacted in all states, governs the creation and perfection of security interests in most goods. Other provisions of the UCC apply to security interests in intangible property. State certificate of title statutes govern security devices in vehicles. Federal law governs the creation and perfection of security interests in most intellectual property and in aircraft and vessels.

5 Unsecured credit
What remedies are available to unsecured creditors? Are the processes difficult or time-consuming? Are pre-judgment attachments available? Do any special procedures apply to foreign creditors?

An unsecured creditor generally has no special rights to any of the debtor’s property until it obtains and enforces a judgment; commencement of a lawsuit to collect on the debt remains its principal remedy. A debt collection action may be a streamlined proceeding that gives rise to a judgment in a few months. The suit’s complexity typically determines its length. Pre-judgment remedies (writs of attachment, garnishment and replevin) exist. Special procedures generally do not apply to foreign creditors, except for the enforcement of arbitration awards involving foreign creditors, which generally proceed under federal law.

6 Courts
What courts are involved in the bankruptcy process? Are there restrictions on the matters that the courts may deal with?

Bankruptcy courts are units of the federal district courts and have limited jurisdiction. They may only enter final orders and judgments in ‘core’ matters, that is, those that invoke a substantive right under the Bankruptcy Code or that, by their nature, could only arise in bankruptcy. In non-core matters, the absence of the parties’ consent, the bankruptcy court may only submit proposed findings of fact and conclusions of law to the district court for de novo review. A non-core matter is one that does not depend on bankruptcy law for its existence and that could proceed in a non-bankruptcy forum. The federal district court in the district in which the bankruptcy court sits, hears appeals from bankruptcy court decisions, although direct appeals to the federal circuit court of appeals may be taken in certain instances. With the parties’ consent, a bankruptcy appellate panel (BAP) may also hear appeals from a bankruptcy court order if one has been established in that district. Panels of three bankruptcy court judges comprise BAPs. In contrast, a single district court judge typically hears appeals to the district court. Appeals to the Federal circuit courts of appeal and, ultimately, the United States Supreme Court, provide additional levels of appellate review.

7 Voluntary liquidations
What are the requirements for a debtor commencing a voluntary liquidation and what are the effects?

Chapter 7 governs liquidation and is commenced by filing a petition in the bankruptcy court where the company is incorporated or has its principal place of business or assets. Filing the chapter 7 petition immediately triggers the automatic stay and enjoins most creditor enforcement actions. It also creates the bankruptcy estate. A trustee is appointed, who typically displaces company’s management and who may operate the debtor’s business for a limited period if doing so is in the best interests of the estate and consistent with its orderly
liquidation. Companies may also seek to liquidate under chapter 11 through confirmation of a liquidating plan.

8 Involuntary liquidations

What are the requirements for creditors placing a debtor in involuntary liquidation and what are the effects?

Creditors may file an involuntary chapter 7 liquidation against almost any debtor that is not paying its debts, other than farmers, railways and not-for-profit corporations. In general, at least three creditors holding in aggregate unsecured claims of US$13,475 that are not contingent as to liability or in dispute as to liability or amount, must execute the involuntary petition. If contested, the court may not order relief unless the debtor is generally not paying its debts as they become due (unless such debts are the subject of a bona fide dispute as to liability or amount), or the debtor turned its assets over to a custodian for liquidation within 120 days before the date of the filing of the petition. Balance sheet insolvency is not grounds for involuntary relief.

The filing of an involuntary petition triggers the automatic stay. The debtor may continue to operate its business during the ‘gap’ period while an involuntary petition is contested, although the court may appoint an interim trustee for cause. If the court grants an involuntary petition, the case proceeds in the same manner as a voluntary chapter 7 case and a trustee is appointed. The debtor may convert an involuntary chapter 7 case to a voluntary chapter 11 case to maintain control over its business activities.

9 Voluntary reorganisations

What are the requirements for a debtor commencing a reorganisation and what are the effects?

Any eligible debtor who proceeds in good faith may commence a chapter 11 case by filing a petition and paying a filing fee. A debtor need not be insolvent, either on a cash flow or balance sheet basis. The filing of a chapter 11 petition immediately triggers the automatic stay and creates the chapter 11 estate. A chapter 11 debtor typically continues to operate its business as a ‘debtor-in-possession’. It enjoys the exclusive right to propose a chapter 11 plan for the first 120 days of the case, which may be extended to no more than 18 months, after which other parties in interest may file their own plan.

10 Involuntary reorganisations

What are the requirements for creditors commencing an involuntary reorganisation and what are the effects?

Creditors must meet the same requirements applicable to an involuntary chapter 7 case to commence an involuntary chapter 11 case. If the court grants the involuntary chapter 11 petition the case proceeds like any other chapter 11 case.

11 Mandatory commencement of insolvency proceedings

Are companies required to commence insolvency proceedings in particular circumstances? If proceedings are not commenced, what liabilities can result?

US law imposes no absolute obligation on a company’s board to commence insolvency proceedings. The board of an insolvent company may in good faith pursue strategies to maximise the value of the firm that do not involve commencement of insolvency proceedings.

12 Doing business in reorganisations

Under what conditions can the debtor carry on business during a reorganisation? What conditions apply to the use of assets and to creditors who supply goods or services after the filing? What are the roles of the creditors and the court in supervising the debtor’s business activities?

No specific conditions apply to a debtor’s ordinary course operation of its business during a reorganisation and it may do so without notice to creditors or court order. The debtor-in-possession, however, becomes an officer of the court and has a fiduciary duty to protect and preserve the assets of the estate and to administer them in the best interests of its creditors. Creditors who supply goods or services post-petition are usually paid on a current basis and, if not, have an administrative expense claim that entitles them to a full recovery as a condition to the debtor’s emergence from chapter 11.

One or more official committees and the US Trustee oversee the debtor’s business activities during reorganisation. The court may also appoint a trustee for cause, including fraud, dishonesty, incompetence or gross mismanagement and, in certain cases, an examiner may be appointed to investigate specified matters. The court generally does not insert itself into the day-to-day management of the debtor’s affairs and when court approval is required, generally defers to the debtor’s business judgment. A debtor must obtain court approval for: transactions not in the ordinary course; use of a secured lender’s cash collateral (in the absence of its consent); and debtor-in-possession financing. The court must also approve the debtor’s retention and payment of professionals.

13 Rejection and disclaimer of contracts in reorganisations

Can a debtor undergoing a reorganisation reject or disclaim an unfavourable contract? Are there contracts that may not be rejected? What procedure is followed to reject a contract and what is the effect of rejection on the other party?

Upon notice and a hearing, a debtor may reject almost any pre-petition executory contract or lease other than a collective bargaining agreement, which it may only reject or modify in compliance with section 1113 of the Bankruptcy Code. A debtor may also not unilaterally fail to pay or reject retiree insurance benefits; these may only be modified or rejected in compliance with section 1114 of the Bankruptcy Code. The rejection of a contract is deemed a prepetition breach that gives rise to an unsecured claim for damages. Rejection of the contract relieves the debtor and non-debtor party to the contract from continued performance.

14 Sale of assets

In reorganisations and liquidations, what provisions apply to the sale of specific assets out of the ordinary course of business and to the sale of the entire business of the debtor? Does the purchaser acquire the assets ‘free and clear’ of claims or do some liabilities pass with the assets? In practice, does your system allow for ‘stalking horse’ bids in sale procedures and does your system permit credit bidding in sales?

Sections 363 and 365 of the Bankruptcy Code govern the sale of assets (including the sale of some or all of the debtor’s business), and assumption and assignment of leases and executory contracts. A debtor may also sell assets or its business pursuant to a chapter 11 plan. A purchaser typically acquires the assets free and clear of any claim or interest. Future claims, that is, claims where the injury has not yet manifested itself (typically based on product liability or similar tortious conduct), present the principal exception to this general rule and may give rise to successor liability. The Bankruptcy Code permits private asset sales as well as auctions. Auctions typically take place outside the courtroom pursuant to judicially approved procedures that govern its conduct. Auctions often include a sale
agreement that sets the floor for other bids – a ‘stalking horse bid’. The court approves the terms of the stalking horse bid, including any break-up fee. Unless the court for cause orders otherwise, the Bankruptcy Code in general permits a secured creditor to bid up to the full amount of its claim to purchase a debtor’s assets and the practice is common. The Bankruptcy Code does not define ‘cause’. Courts interpret the term flexibly and apply it on a case-by-case basis.

15 Stays of proceedings and moratoria
What prohibitions against the continuation of legal proceedings or the enforcement of claims by creditors apply in liquidations and reorganisations? In what circumstances may creditors obtain relief from such prohibitions?

The filing of a bankruptcy petition triggers an automatic stay and no formal court order need be obtained. The automatic stay is broad in scope and applies to almost all types of creditor actions against the debtor or property of its estate. The limited statutory exceptions to the stay include criminal proceedings against the debtor, enforcement of a governmental unit’s police or regulatory powers, a non-debtor party’s right to close out most securities and financial contracts, and certain other actions taken by specified parties.

A court may, upon a creditor’s request and after notice and a hearing, grant relief from the automatic stay:
• ‘for cause, including the lack of adequate protection of an interest in property’ held by such creditor; or
• with respect to an action against property of the estate, if the debtor does not have any equity in such property (ie, the claims against such property exceed its value) and such property is not necessary for the debtor’s effective reorganisation.

16 Arbitration processes in bankruptcy
How frequently is arbitration used in insolvency proceedings? What limitations are there on the availability of arbitration in insolvency cases? Will the court allow arbitration proceedings to continue after an insolvency case is opened?

Federal law and courts strongly favour the use of alternative dispute resolution and arbitration procedures are commonly employed in bankruptcy cases. A court has the discretion to deny arbitration over a core matter integral to the bankruptcy case. The automatic stay enjoins arbitrations commenced prior to the bankruptcy filing from continuing against a debtor, although courts may grant relief from the stay to permit the proceeding to continue and often do so.

17 Set-off and netting
To what extent are creditors able to exercise rights of set-off or netting in a liquidation or in a reorganisation? Can creditors be deprived of the right of set-off either temporarily or permanently?

The Bankruptcy Code generally honours a creditor’s set-off right and treats it like a secured claim. Except for set-offs arising from certain securities transactions, a creditor must obtain relief from stay prior to setting off. The Bankruptcy Code does not recognise a set-off if the creditor asserting the right acquired the claim against the debtor from another creditor either after the debtor’s bankruptcy filing or within 90 days of the filing while the debtor was insolvent. Set-offs are also barred if the creditor became indebted to the debtor for the purpose of obtaining the set-off, and the creditor incurred the debt within 90 days of the debtor’s filing while the debtor was insolvent. Finally, there are limits on recovery of certain preferential set-offs taken within the 90 days immediately preceding the debtor’s filing of its bankruptcy case.

18 Intellectual property assets in insolvencies
May an IP licensor or owner terminate the debtor’s right to use it when an insolvency case is opened? To what extent may an insolvency administrator continue to use IP rights granted under an agreement with the debtor? May an insolvency representative terminate a debtor’s agreement with a licensor or owner and continue to use the IP for the benefit of the estate?

The automatic stay prevents an IP licensor from terminating the debtor’s right to use the intellectual property. Courts usually treat IP licences as executory contracts and a debtor may continue using the IP during its chapter 11 case if it pays royalties and otherwise complies with the licence. A debtor’s ability to assume an IP licence and continue using it after exiting from bankruptcy, or selling the IP licence to a third party, remains controversial and depends on the nature of the licence under non-bankruptcy law.

Section 365(n) of the Bankruptcy Code protects a licensee’s right to use intellectual property where the debtor is the IP licensor. Prior to rejecting an IP licence, the debtor must perform the contract, provide the licensee with the IP and otherwise not interfere with the licensee’s contractual rights. A licensee may elect to retain its rights under the IP licence, as such rights existed immediately before the commencement of the bankruptcy case, notwithstanding the debtor’s rejection of the IP licence if it makes royalty payments and waives any set-off and administrative claims arising from performance of the licence.

19 Post-filing credit
May a debtor in a liquidation or reorganisation obtain secured or unsecured loans or credit? What priority is given to such loans or credit?

Section 364 of the Bankruptcy Code governs post-petition financing. A debtor-in-possession may obtain post-petition unsecured credit in the ordinary course of its business without court approval. Other financing requires court approval. The court may authorise unsecured post-petition credit as an administrative expense. It may also grant the lender a “super priority” claim that has priority over all other administrative priority and general unsecured claims, other than the prior payment of administrative expenses in a superseding chapter 7 case. A debtor-in-possession may also obtain secured credit and the court may authorise a lien that is junior, senior or equal to the existing liens on the debtor’s assets. Liens that are senior or equal to existing liens may be granted if the debtor demonstrates that it is unable to obtain credit otherwise, and adequate protection of the existing lienholder’s interests exists. Priming liens are rare since debtors usually cannot provide pre-petition secured lenders with adequate protection due to a lack of unencumbered cash flow and assets. Trustees in chapter 7 cases may also obtain credit if authorised to operate the debtor’s business.

20 Successful reorganisations
What features are mandatory in a reorganisation plan? How are creditors classified for purposes of a plan and how is the plan approved? Can a reorganisation plan release non-debtor parties from liability, and, if so, in what circumstances?

Confirmation of a plan requires, among other things, that the chapter 11 plan:
• be proposed in good faith and not by any means forbidden by law;
• designate all claims and interests into classes (such that all claims or interests in a particular class must be substantially similar);
• specify the treatment of each class of claims or interests and state whether such classes are impaired or unimpaired;
• include, if at least one class of claims is impaired by the plan, at least one accepting class of impaired claims (determined without including any acceptances by insiders);
provide adequate means for the plan’s implementation;
• be ‘feasible’ (i.e., not likely to be followed by the need for liquidation or another financial reorganisation); and
• with respect to each impaired class of claims or interests, provide that each holder of a claim or interest in such class either has voted to accept the plan or will receive or retain under the plan on account of such claim or interest, property of a value as of the effective date of the plan that is not less than the amount that such holder would receive or retain if the debtor were liquidated under chapter 7 of the Bankruptcy Code.

Known as the ‘best interests of creditors test’, this last requirement ensures that creditors and interest holders who do not vote in favour of the plan receive at least as much under the plan as they would receive if the debtor were liquidated under chapter 7. Unimpaired classes are classes whose claims are reinstated or paid in full as if the bankruptcy had not occurred. They are deemed to have accepted the plan and are not entitled to vote on the plan. Classes that receive no distribution under the plan, likewise, are not entitled to vote because they are deemed to have rejected the plan.

Holders of impaired claims or interests may vote to accept or reject a plan. A class of claims is deemed to accept a plan if such plan has been accepted by creditors that hold at least two-thirds in amount and more than one-half in number of the allowed claims of such class held by creditors that have voted. A class of interest holders accepts a chapter 11 plan if holders of in excess of two-thirds of the number of shares actually voting accept the plan.

If an impaired class rejects a plan, the plan may be confirmed only through ‘cram down’. Cram down requires, along with the requirements above, that the plan does not ‘discriminate unfairly’ and be ‘fair and equitable’ with respect to each impaired, non-accepting class. To avoid unfair discrimination, a plan must classify similarly situated claims together and treat them similarly. The ‘fair and equitable’ standard strives to respect the existing priorities of claims and interests (the ‘absolute priority rule’) so that senior claims in dissenting classes must be satisfied in full before junior claims or interests can receive or retain any property under the plan.

While debtors may in appropriate circumstances release others, courts remain divided over whether a plan may include releases by creditors and other parties in interest in favour of non-debtors. Such releases are permitted only in unusual circumstances, if at all. At a minimum, third-party releases must be necessary and fair. A plan may, however, contain releases and excusals in favour of the debtor’s officers, directors, advisers and other professionals, as well as those of a statutory committee, for acts and omissions made in connection with or arising from the chapter 11 case itself.

21 Expeditious reorganisations

Do procedures exist for expedited reorganisations?

The Bankruptcy Code specifically authorises expedited reorganisations and permits prepackaged plans that a debtor negotiates and on which it solicits votes prior to filing for chapter 11 relief. A debtor may also file a ‘pre-arranged’ chapter 11 case in which it negotiates the terms of its reorganisation with its major creditor constituencies but does not solicit votes in favour of a plan until after the chapter 11 filing.

22 Unsuccessful reorganisations

How is a proposed reorganisation defeated and what is the effect of the plan not being approved? What if the debtor fails to perform a plan?

A chapter 11 plan must meet the confirmation requirements described in question 20. Failure to confirm a chapter 11 plan provides grounds for dismissal or conversion of the case to a liquidation under chapter 7. A court may also permit the filing of an alternative plan. Material default under a confirmed plan or inability to substantially consummate a confirmed plan constitute grounds for dismissal or conversion to liquidation under chapter 7. The court may give a plan proponent the opportunity to cure any default under a confirmed plan. A debtor may also modify a plan after its confirmation and before its substantial consummation if the modified plan meets the requirements for confirmation.

23 Bankruptcy processes

During a bankruptcy case, what notices are given to creditors? What meetings are held? How are meetings called? What information regarding the administration of the estate, its assets and the claims against it is available to creditors or creditors’ committees? What are insolvent administrators’ reporting obligations? May creditors pursue the estate’s remedies against third parties?

Creditors receive notice of most significant aspects of a bankruptcy case, including: case commencement; the bar date for filing claims; dates for the meeting of creditors; any proposed sale, use or lease of property outside of the ordinary course of business; the deadline to vote on a plan; and fee applications of professionals. Shortly after a case is filed, the US trustee convenes a meeting of creditors at which they can examine the debtor. On motion of any party in interest, the court may also order examination of any entity, including the debtor. Numerous reporting obligations exist. A debtor (or trustee) must file operating and financial reports that disclose the debtor’s business and financial performance while in bankruptcy. A debtor also has a duty to keep records of receipts and disposition of assets, and in a chapter 11 case, report financial information concerning entities in which the debtor holds a controlling interest. Without court approval, creditors and official committees cannot initiate an action against a third party on account of a claim that is property of the debtor’s estate or that belongs to the debtor.

24 Creditor representation

What committees can be formed (or representative counsel appointed) and what powers or responsibilities do they have? How are they selected and appointed? May they retain advisers and how are their expenses funded?

In chapter 11 cases, the US trustee must appoint a committee of creditors holding unsecured claims and may appoint additional committees (e.g., to represent equity holders, mass tort claimants or employees). Five to seven creditors, selected from the debtor’s 20 largest creditors and who have indicated a willingness to serve, usually comprise a statutory committee. Statutory committees serve as fiduciaries for unsecured creditors generally and perform an oversight function. They may investigate the debtor’s acts, conduct, assets, liabilities, financial condition, business operation and any other matter relevant to the case or to the formulation of a plan. Subject to court approval, a creditors’ committee may retain attorneys, financial advisers and other professionals. The debtor pays their approved fees and expenses.

Unofficial (or ad hoc) committees, including committees of secured (or unsecured) lenders, equity holders, note holders and trade creditors, may also play an important role in reorganisations. Ad hoc committees are self-appointed and self-regulated. Like other parties in interest, they have standing to be heard on most issues in a case, may file motions, and may otherwise appear before the court and participate in the restructuring process. Ad hoc committees routinely retain attorneys and financial advisers. The debtor may have to pay an ad hoc committee’s professional fees and expenses if the court finds that the committee made a ‘substantial contribution’ to the case.
25 Insolvency of corporate groups

In insolvency proceedings involving a corporate group, are the proceedings by the parent and its subsidiaries combined for administrative purposes? May the assets and liabilities of the companies be pooled for distribution purposes?

A court may consolidate the cases of two or more affiliated debtors pending in the same court for administrative purposes and almost always does so. Courts have the power to combine the assets and liabilities of companies into one pool for distribution purposes under the equitable doctrine of substantive consolidation. A proponent of substantive consolidation must generally show some form of substantial identity between the entities to be consolidated and that consolidation is necessary to avoid some harm or to realise some benefit. Courts view substantive consolidation as an extraordinary remedy that should be used sparingly if an objection is lodged.

26 Modifying creditors’ rights

May the court change the rank of a creditor’s claim? If so, what are the grounds for doing so and how frequently does this occur?

The court may change the treatment of creditors’ claims through equitable subordination, recharacterisation, and substantive consolidation. Equitable subordination changes the priority of a creditor’s claim by subordinating it to a lower priority than that of similarly situated claims upon a showing of wrongful conduct by the claim holder that damaged other creditors. Recharacterisation involves the allowance of a claim based on its economic substance rather than form. A court may recharacterise a debt claim as an equity interest if the purported claim lacks the usual attributes of indebtedness and otherwise functions like equity. Finally, as discussed above, a court may ‘substantively consolidate’ estates. By pooling the assets of, and claims against, two or more entities, substantive consolidation may eliminate any structural priority between the claimants of the consolidated entities.

27 Enforcement of estate’s rights

If the insolvency administrator has no assets to pursue a claim, may the creditors pursue the estate’s remedies? If so, to whom do the fruits of the remedies belong?

The court may grant a creditor, or creditors committee, derivative standing to pursue actions on behalf of the debtor or its estate but litigation proceeds generally inure to the benefit of the estate. Alternatively, the trustee may retain an attorney on a contingency fee basis under which the attorney receives a fixed percentage of any recovery, with the excess reverting to the debtor’s estate. With court approval, a debtor’s secured lenders or others may fund the debtor’s prosecution of a valuable estate claim for the benefit of the estate generally.

28 Claims and appeals

How is a creditor’s claim submitted and what are the time limits? How are claims disallowed and how does a creditor appeal? Are there provisions on the transfer of claims? Must transfers be disclosed and are there any restrictions on transferred claims?

A debtor lists all known claims in its schedules of assets and liabilities and classifies them as ‘disputed’, ‘unliquidated’ or ‘contingent’ where appropriate. A chapter 11 debtor usually obtains a court order setting a bar date by which creditors must file proofs of claim. In chapter 7 cases, a claim is timely if it is filed no later than 90 days after the first date set for the section 341 creditors’ meeting, unless the case is a ‘no asset’ case in which the claim deadlines may be deferred. Creditors may object to a debtor’s characterisation of their claim and the parties can adjudicate the claim before the court. Non-bankruptcy law determines its validity, although the Bankruptcy Code governs the allowance of the claim in bankruptcy and sometimes trumps non-bankruptcy law rights, for example, by disallowing an unsecured creditor’s claim for interest accruing post-petition and limiting a landlord’s rejection damages to a percentage of remaining lease payments. Bankruptcy court orders disallowing a claim may be appealed. An active and well-developed claims market exists. Absent a court order, parties may freely transfer bankruptcy claims and the applicable rules have essentially rendered the sale of claims a private transaction between buyer and seller mostly free from court interference. For claims not based on publicly traded securities, the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure require a transferee to file evidence of the transfer of a claim, typically in the form of an assignment of claim. Any objection to the transfer must be filed within 21 days of the mailing of the notice to the transferee. In the absence of an objection, the transfer is valid.

29 Priority claims

What are the major privileged and priority claims in liquidations and reorganisations? Which have priority over secured creditors?

The major unsecured claims entitled to priority in both liquidations and reorganisations are:

- expenses of administering the debtor’s estate along with judicial fees and costs;
- the value of any goods received by the debtor within 20 days before the filing of the case which goods have been sold to the debtor in the ordinary course of the debtor’s business;
- claims arising during the ‘involuntary gap period’ from the time an involuntary petition is filed to the time the court enters an order granting the requested relief;
- subject to a statutory cap, claims for wages, salaries, commissions, and similar items;
- subject to a statutory cap, claims for unpaid contributions to employee benefit plans;
- subject to a statutory cap, claims for certain kinds of consumer deposits;
- claims for taxes and customs duties and related liabilities assessed within a certain pre-petition time frame; and
- claims for depository institution capital-maintenance commitments.

Apart from priming liens approved in connection with debtor-in-possession financing, only claims relating to the debtor’s preservation or disposition of a secured creditor’s collateral, to the extent of any benefit to the secured creditor, are entitled to priority over a secured creditor’s lien.

30 Liabilities that survive insolvency proceedings

Do any liabilities of a debtor survive an insolvency or a reorganisation?

Confirmation of a chapter 11 reorganisation plan generally discharges a business debtor of all its pre-petition debts to creditors. However, a plan that provides for the liquidation of all, or substantially all, of the property of the estate when the debtor does not engage in business after consummation of the plan, does not result in a discharge. A business debtor likewise does not receive a discharge in a chapter 7 case. Upon completion of a chapter 7 or liquidating chapter 11 case, however, only a corporate shell remains against which claims could be satisfied. Bankruptcy discharges most debts of individual debtors with certain statutory exceptions.

31 Distributions

How and when are distributions made to creditors in liquidations and reorganisations?

A chapter 11 plan specifies the time and manner of distributions. A chapter 7 trustee generally does not make distributions until he or she has liquidated estate assets, including completion of litigation.
### Update and trends

**Consumer bankruptcy filings in 2010 have continued to increase as consumers struggle during troubling economic times with a debt overhang from prior years of robust spending. While US bankruptcy filings are at near all-time highs, commercial filings have remained steady compared to 2009. Modestly improving credit markets have contributed to an improved restructuring environment for companies seeking chapter 11 relief.**

Intercreditor disputes have become an increasingly important feature in recent chapter 11 cases. Courts have enforced intercreditor agreements between first and second lien lenders to bar the second lien lender from challenging the validity of a lien granted in favour of the first lien lender and objecting to a reorganisation plan supported by the senior lender. Courts recently have focused on the limits of credit bidding, with two appellate courts deciding that secured lenders do not have an absolute right to credit bid the amount of their claims in a sale pursuant to a chapter 11 reorganisation plan.

**Proposed amendments to the Bankruptcy Rules that would require creditors to disclose their claim holdings, as well as the date acquired and in certain situations, the price paid for them, continue to generate discussion and controversy. The proposed disclosure impacts upon distressed investors and hedge funds, who play increasingly dominant roles in large chapter 11 cases through collective participation in ad hoc committees.**

Courts continue to grant broad relief in favour of foreign representatives under chapter 15. In an unanimous decision of first impression, the Fifth Circuit Court of Appeals held that a foreign representative has standing under chapter 15 of the Bankruptcy Code to initiate avoidance actions under applicable foreign law. The ruling allows a foreign representative to file avoidance actions under applicable foreign law in a chapter 15 case without having to commence a plenary chapter 7 or chapter 11 case.

**Interim distributions may be made if sufficient liquid assets exist. Payment on account of administrative or priority claims, like wage claims or fully secured claims, may be made during the pendency of the case with court approval.**

### 32 Transactions that may be annulled

**What transactions can be annulled or set aside in bankruptcies and what are the grounds? What is the result of a transaction being annulled?**

Preferential, fraudulent, and post-petition transfers made without necessary court authorisation may be avoided. Broadly, a preferential transfer is one made within 90 days of the commencement of the case (one year for insiders) on account of antecedent debt that results in the recipient receiving a greater recovery than it would have received if the transfer had not been made and the debtor were liquidated in a chapter 7 case. In general, a fraudulent transfer is:

- any transfer of the debtor’s property, or any obligation incurred by the debtor, that was made with the ‘actual intent to hinder, delay, or defraud’ present and future creditors; or
- any transfer made or obligation incurred for less than reasonably equivalent value while the debtor was insolvent, thereby rendered insolvent, had unreasonably small capital to operate the business, intended or believed that it would incur debts beyond its ability to pay as they matured, or made the transfer to an insider if certain other circumstances exist.

The Bankruptcy Code’s fraudulent conveyance provision has a two-year reach-back period but the Code also permits use of longer reach-back periods available under state law, which typically range from four to six years. The Bankruptcy Code permits the recovery of the property transferred, or its value. The Code also disallows any claim by a transferee against the estate unless the transferee discharges any avoided transfer for which the court finds it liable. If the transferee returns the avoided transfer, it receives a pre-petition general unsecured claim as compensation.

### 33 Proceedings to annul transactions

**Does your country use the concept of a ‘suspect period’ in determining whether to annul a transaction by an insolvent debtor? May voidable transactions be attacked by creditors or only by a liquidator or trustee? May they be attacked in a reorganisation or a suspension of payments or only in a liquidation?**

Transfers made within the time frames specified in question 32 may be avoided. Only a debtor-in-possession or a trustee has standing to pursue an avoidance action, unless the court expressly grants creditors or a committee derivative standing to do so. Avoidance actions are available in reorganisations and liquidations.

### 34 Directors and officers

**Are corporate officers and directors liable for their corporation’s obligations? Are they liable for pre-bankruptcy actions by their companies? Can they be subject to sanctions for other reasons?**

If officers and directors comply with corporate law formalities, they are generally not liable for the debts and liabilities of the corporations they serve. Liability may arise on a corporate veil piercing theory. An officer or director who is a ‘control person’ may also be liable for certain state and federal payroll taxes that were not withheld and paid over to tax authorities. Similarly, corporate directors and officers do not have personal liability for pre-bankruptcy actions unless they are found to have breached their fiduciary duties. Generally, no fiduciary obligations to creditors exist. Creditor rights are governed by contract, statute and case law concerning debtor-creditor relationships. Upon insolvency (or near insolvency), the directors’ and officers’ fiduciary obligations expand to include the interests of creditors who, upon insolvency, become the residual risk-bearers in the enterprise; however, state law is not necessarily consistent or fully developed with respect to such matters. As in all situations, directors and officers may be criminally prosecuted for fraud, securities law violations and other crimes related to the conduct of their business. Mere insolvency, or operating a company while insolvent, however, does not give rise to liability.

### 35 Creditors’ enforcement

**Are there processes by which some or all of the assets of a business may be seized outside of court proceedings? How are these processes carried out?**

Article 9 of the Uniform Commercial Code permits a secured party to repossess collateral by self-help when it can be done without breach of the peace. Disposition of the collateral may be by public or private sale. Every aspect of the disposition must be commercially reasonable. In practice, court proceedings are usually commenced to obtain judicial approval of the reposition and disposition of substantial assets.

### 36 Corporate procedures

**Are there corporate procedures for the liquidation or dissolution of a corporation? How do such processes contrast with bankruptcy proceedings?**

A corporation may dissolve or liquidate under state law. Modern corporate statutes generally provide that directors of dissolved corporations may distribute assets to shareholders only after discharging or making reasonable provision for the payment of creditors. Unlike bankruptcy, state law dissolution provides little court supervision and lacks the benefit of an automatic stay. State law procedures are also not subject to oversight by the US trustee or official creditors committees and no collective enforcement action exists. Under state law, directors and
officers may be personally liable for unlawful distributions or the failure to adequately provide for claims, including unknown and contingent claims. In contrast, the bankruptcy process provides a unified and judicially supervised forum for winding-up a company's affairs. While more formal than state dissolution processes, bankruptcy provides greater transparency to stakeholders and ensures a greater degree of immunity for officers and directors acting on behalf of the company.

37 Conclusion of case

How are liquidation and reorganisation cases formally concluded?

In a chapter 7 case, after all available assets have been sold and proceeds distributed to creditors, the trustee files a final report and account and certifies that the estate has been fully administered after which the court discharges the trustee and enters an order closing the case. A chapter 11 debtor emerges from bankruptcy protection when its confirmed plan becomes effective and it can resume operating without court oversight. Most chapter 11 plans become effective upon their substantial consummation, that is, when:

- all or substantially all of the property proposed by the plan has been transferred;
- the debtor or its successor has assumed management of all or substantially all of the property the plan addresses; and
- distributions under the plan have commenced.

After the chapter 11 estate is fully administered, the court enters a final decree closing the case.

38 International cases

What recognition or relief is available concerning an insolvency proceeding in another country? How are foreign creditors dealt with in liquidations and reorganisations? Are foreign judgments or orders recognised and in what circumstances? Is your country a signatory to a treaty on international insolvency or the recognition of foreign judgments?

Congress adopted the UNCITRAL Model Law, with some modifications, as chapter 15 of the Bankruptcy Code in 2005. Chapter 15 enables a foreign representative of a foreign estate to obtain US bankruptcy court recognition of the foreign proceedings and thereby access a wide panoply of relief with respect to the foreign debtor's assets and operations in the US, including the imposition of the automatic stay, administering the foreign debtor's US assets, and operating the foreign debtor's US business. Foreign creditors have the same rights regarding the commencement of, and participation in, a bankruptcy case as domestic creditors.

Most foreign judgments, other than those involving foreign penal and revenue laws, enjoy a strong presumption of validity in US courts. Their recognition depends primarily on principals of comity as well as state law, typically common law or the Uniform Foreign Money Judgments Recognition Act where enacted. The US is not a signatory to a treaty specifically addressing international insolvency or the recognition of foreign judgments.

39 Cross-border insolvency protocols and joint court hearings

In cross-border cases, have the courts in your country entered into cross-border insolvency protocols or other arrangements to coordinate proceedings with courts in other countries? Have courts in your country communicated or held joint hearings with courts in other countries in cross-border cases? If so, with which other countries?

Bankruptcy courts routinely enter orders approving protocols for managing cross-border insolvency proceedings. US courts have a long history of communicating with courts in foreign countries and have done so with courts in Brazil, Burundi, Canada, Israel, Switzerland and the United Kingdom, among others.

* Arina Popova, an associate at Paul, Weiss, also contributed to this chapter.
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