



# Mergers & Acquisitions

in 67 jurisdictions worldwide

Contributing editor: Casey Cogut

# 2013



Published by  
*Getting the Deal Through*  
in association with:

Aabø-Evensen & Co Advokatfirma  
ÆLEX  
Arfidea Kadri Sahetapy-Engel Tisnadisastra (AKSET)  
ASAR – Al Ruwayeh & Partners  
Baker Botts LLP  
Basham, Ringe y Correa  
Bersay & Associés  
Biedecki  
bizconsult law LLC  
Bonn & Schmitt  
Bowman Gilfillan  
Boyarov & Co  
Carey  
Casahierro Abogados  
Collibri Law Firm  
Corpus Legal Practitioners  
Costa, Waisberg e Tavares Paes Sociedade de Advogados  
David Norman & Co  
Debarliev, Dameski & Kelesoska Attorneys at Law  
Divjak, Topić & Bahtijarević Law Firm  
DLA Piper Ukraine  
EDAS Law Bureau  
ELIG Attorneys-at-Law  
Estudio Trevisán Abogados  
Ferrere Abogados  
Freshfields Bruckhaus Deringer  
Gilbert + Tobin  
Gleiss Lutz  
GTG Advocates  
Hoet Peláez Castillo & Duque  
Homburger  
Hoxha, Memi & Hoxha  
Iason Skouzos & Partners  
Jade & Fountain PRC Lawyers  
Kettani Law Firm  
Khaïtan & Co  
Kimathi & Partners, Corporate Attorneys  
Kim & Chang  
LAWIN  
LAWIN Lideika, Petrauskas, Valiūnas ir partneriai  
Lloreda Camacho & Co  
Mares, Danilescu & Asociatii  
MJM Limited  
Nagashima Ohno & Tsunematsu  
Nielsen Nørager Law Firm LLP  
Odvetniki Šelih & partnerji, o.p., d.o.o.  
Paul, Weiss, Rifkind, Wharton & Garrison  
Pellerano & Herrera  
Rentsch Legal  
RIAALAW  
Schönherr  
Simont Braun  
Simpson Thacher & Bartlett LLP  
Slaughter and May  
Stankovic & Partners  
Stikeman Elliott LLP  
Thanathip & Partners Legal Counsellors Limited  
Ughi e Nunziante – Studio Legale  
Walker Kontos Advocates  
Walkers  
Weil, Gotshal & Manges  
Wong Beh & Toh  
WongPartnership LLP  
Young Conaway Stargatt & Taylor, LLP



## Mergers & Acquisitions 2013

### Contributing editor

Casey Cogut  
Simpson Thacher & Bartlett LLP

### Business development managers

Alan Lee  
George Ingledew  
Dan White

### Marketing managers

Rachel Nurse  
Zosia Demkowicz

### Marketing assistants

Megan Friedman  
Cady Atkinson  
Robin Synnot  
Joseph Rush

### Administrative assistant

Parveen Hicks  
Sophie Hickey

### Marketing manager (subscriptions)

Rachel Nurse  
Subscriptions@  
GettingTheDealThrough.com

### Head of editorial production

Adam Myers

### Production coordinator

Lydia Gerges

### Senior production editor

Jonathan Cowie

### Chief subeditor

Jonathan Allen

### Subeditors

Harry Phillips  
Tim Beaver

### Editor-in-chief

Callum Campbell

### Publisher

Richard Davey

### Mergers & Acquisitions 2013

Published by  
Law Business Research Ltd  
87 Lancaster Road  
London, W11 1QQ, UK  
Tel: +44 20 7908 1188  
Fax: +44 20 7229 6910  
© Law Business Research Ltd 2013

No photocopying: copyright licences do not apply.

ISSN 1471-1230

The information provided in this publication is general and may not apply in a specific situation. Legal advice should always be sought before taking any legal action based on the information provided. This information is not intended to create, nor does receipt of it constitute, a lawyer-client relationship. The publishers and authors accept no responsibility for any acts or omissions contained herein. Although the information provided is accurate as of May 2013, be advised that this is a developing area.

Printed and distributed by  
Encompass Print Solutions  
Tel: 0844 2480 112

**Law**  
**Business**  
**Research**

<b>Global Overview</b> Casey Cogut and Sean Rodgers <i>Simpson Thacher &amp; Bartlett LLP</i>	<b>4</b>
<b>EU Overview</b> Stephen Hewes, Richard Thexton and Dan Clarke <i>Freshfields Bruckhaus Deringer</i>	<b>6</b>
<b>Albania</b> Shpati Hoxha <i>Hoxha, Memi &amp; Hoxha</i>	<b>8</b>
<b>Argentina</b> Pablo Trevisán, Laura Bierzychudek and Walter Beveraggi <i>Estudio Trevisán Abogados</i>	<b>14</b>
<b>Australia</b> Neil Pathak and David Clee <i>Gilbert + Tobin</i>	<b>20</b>
<b>Austria</b> Christian Herbst <i>Schönherr</i>	<b>28</b>
<b>Belgium</b> Sandrine Hirsch and Vanessa Marquette <i>Simont Braun</i>	<b>35</b>
<b>Bermuda</b> Peter Martin and Andrew Martin <i>MJM Limited</i>	<b>42</b>
<b>Bolivia</b> Carlos Pinto-Meyer and Cristian Bustos <i>Ferrere Abogados</i>	<b>48</b>
<b>Brazil</b> Vamilson José Costa, Ivo Waisberg, Antonio de Oliveira Tavares Paes, Jr, Gilberto Gornati and Stefan Lourenço de Lima <i>Costa, Waisberg e Tavares Paes Sociedade de Advogados</i>	<b>52</b>
<b>Bulgaria</b> Yordan Naydenov, Angel Angelov, Nevena Kostadinova and Gergana Petrova <i>Boyanov &amp; Co</i>	<b>58</b>
<b>Canada</b> Richard E Clark and Curtis Cusinato <i>Stikeman Elliott LLP</i>	<b>66</b>
<b>Merger Control in Canada</b> Susan Hutton <i>Stikeman Elliott LLP</i>	<b>72</b>
<b>Cayman Islands</b> Rob Jackson and Ramesh Maharaj <i>Walkers</i>	<b>75</b>
<b>Chile</b> Pablo Iacobelli and Cristián Eyzaguirre <i>Carey</i>	<b>80</b>
<b>China</b> Lawrence Guo <i>Jade &amp; Fountain PRC Lawyers</i>	<b>86</b>
<b>Colombia</b> Enrique Álvarez, Santiago Gutiérrez and Tomás Calderón-Mejía <i>Lloreda Camacho &amp; Co</i>	<b>92</b>
<b>Croatia</b> Damir Topić and Mate Lovrić <i>Divjak, Topić &amp; Bahtjarević Law Firm</i>	<b>100</b>
<b>Czech Republic</b> Rudolf Rentsch and Petra Trojanová <i>Rentsch Legal</i>	<b>105</b>
<b>Denmark</b> Thomas Weisbjerg, Jakob Mosegaard Larsen and Rebecca Vikjær-Andresen <i>Nielsen Nørager Law Firm LLP</i>	<b>112</b>
<b>Dominican Republic</b> Marielle Garrigó and Mariangela Pellerano <i>Pellerano &amp; Herrera</i>	<b>118</b>
<b>England &amp; Wales</b> Michael Corbett <i>Slaughter and May</i>	<b>121</b>
<b>France</b> Sandrine de Sousa and Yves Ardaillou <i>Bersay &amp; Associés</i>	<b>131</b>
<b>Georgia</b> Revaz Javelidze and Eka Siradze <i>Colibri Law Firm</i>	<b>137</b>
<b>Germany</b> Gerhard Wegen and Christian Cascante <i>Gleiss Lutz</i>	<b>142</b>
<b>Ghana</b> Kimathi Kuenyehia, Sr, Atsu Agbemabiase and Kafui Baeta <i>Kimathi &amp; Partners, Corporate Attorneys</i>	<b>150</b>
<b>Greece</b> Theodoros Skouzos and Georgia Tsoulou <i>Iason Skouzos &amp; Partners</i>	<b>156</b>
<b>Hong Kong</b> John E Lange and Peter Davies <i>Paul, Weiss, Rifkind, Wharton &amp; Garrison</i> David M Norman <i>David Norman &amp; Co</i>	<b>163</b>
<b>Hungary</b> David Dederick, László Nagy and Eszter Katona <i>Weil, Gotshal &amp; Manges</i>	<b>169</b>
<b>India</b> Rabindra Jhunjhunwala and Bharat Anand <i>Khaitan &amp; Co</i>	<b>175</b>
<b>Indonesia</b> Johannes C Sahetapy-Engel and Kartika Putri Wohon <i>Arfidea Kadri Sahetapy-Engel Tisnadisastra (AKSET)</i>	<b>184</b>
<b>Italy</b> Fiorella Federica Alvino <i>Ughi e Nunziante – Studio Legale</i>	<b>191</b>
<b>Japan</b> Ryuji Sakai, Kayo Takigawa and Yushi Hegawa <i>Nagashima Ohno &amp; Tsunematsu</i>	<b>197</b>
<b>Kazakhstan</b> Saniya Perzadayeva and Aliya Zhumabek <i>Colibri Law Firm</i>	<b>203</b>
<b>Kenya</b> Michael Kontos, David Wayumba and Fahreen Alibhai Bid <i>Walker Kontos Advocates</i>	<b>207</b>
<b>Korea</b> Jong Koo Park and Sang Hyuk Park <i>Kim &amp; Chang</i>	<b>212</b>

## CONTENTS

---

<b>Kuwait</b> Ibrahim Sattout and John Cunha <i>ASAR – Al Ruwayeh &amp; Partners</i>	<b>217</b>
<b>Kyrgyzstan</b> Zhanyl Abdrakhmanova and Arman Kurmangaliev <i>Colibri Law Firm</i>	<b>222</b>
<b>Latvia</b> Raimonds Slaidiņš and Krista Zariņa <i>LAWIN</i>	<b>226</b>
<b>Lithuania</b> Robertas Čiočys <i>LAWIN Lideika, Petrauskas, Valiūnas ir partneriai</i>	<b>232</b>
<b>Luxembourg</b> Alex Schmitt, Chantal Keereman and Philipp Mössner <i>Bonn &amp; Schmitt</i>	<b>240</b>
<b>Macedonia</b> Emilija Kelesoska Sholjakovska and Elena Miceva <i>Debarliev, Dameski &amp; Kelesoska Attorneys at Law</i>	<b>245</b>
<b>Malaysia</b> Wong Tat Chung <i>Wong Beh &amp; Toh</i>	<b>251</b>
<b>Malta</b> Ian Gauci and Karl Sammut <i>GTG Advocates</i>	<b>257</b>
<b>Mexico</b> Daniel Del Río, Jesús Colunga and Amilcar García <i>Basham, Ringe y Correa</i>	<b>263</b>
<b>Morocco</b> Nadia Kettani <i>Kettani Law Firm</i>	<b>268</b>
<b>Nigeria</b> Theophilus Emuwa, Chinyerugo Ugoji and Ayoyinka Olajide-Awosedo <i>ÆLEX</i>	<b>274</b>
<b>Norway</b> Ole K Aabø-Evensen <i>Aabø-Evensen &amp; Co Advokatfirma</i>	<b>280</b>
<b>Pakistan</b> Bilal Shaukat and Naz Toosy <i>RIAALAW</i>	<b>290</b>
<b>Peru</b> Percy Castle and Carlos Carrasco <i>Casahierro Abogados</i>	<b>396</b>
<b>Poland</b> Ludomir Biedecki and Radosław Biedecki <i>Biedecki</i>	<b>302</b>
<b>Romania</b> Simona Mares and Lucian Danilescu <i>Mares, Danilescu &amp; Asociatii</i>	<b>308</b>
<b>Russia</b> Igor Akimov and Ilya Lifshits <i>EDAS Law Bureau</i>	<b>315</b>
<b>Saudi Arabia</b> O Ali Anekwe and Babul Parikh <i>Baker Botts LLP</i>	<b>319</b>
<b>Serbia</b> Nenad Stankovic, Dusan Vukadin and Sara Pendjer <i>Stankovic &amp; Partners</i>	<b>326</b>
<b>Singapore</b> Ng Wai King and Mark Choy <i>WongPartnership LLP</i>	<b>333</b>
<b>Slovenia</b> Nataša Pipan Nahtigal and Jera Majzelj <i>Odvetniki Šelih &amp; partnerji, o.p., d.o.o.</i>	<b>341</b>
<b>South Africa</b> Ezra Davids and David Yuill <i>Bowman Gilfillan</i>	<b>348</b>
<b>Switzerland</b> Claude Lambert, Dieter Gericke, Reto Heuberger and Gerald Brei <i>Homburger</i>	<b>354</b>
<b>Tajikistan</b> Denis Bagrov and Khujanazar Aslamshoev <i>Colibri Law Firm</i>	<b>362</b>
<b>Thailand</b> Issariya Vimonrat and Pitch Benjatikul <i>Thanathip &amp; Partners Legal Counsellors Limited</i>	<b>368</b>
<b>Turkey</b> Salih Tunç Lokmanhekim and Elif Çağla Yazdıç <i>ELİG Attorneys-at-Law</i>	<b>371</b>
<b>Ukraine</b> Galyna Zagorodniuk and Dmytro Tkachenko <i>DLA Piper Ukraine</i>	<b>380</b>
<b>United Arab Emirates</b> Michael Hilton and Mohammad Tbaishat <i>Freshfields Bruckhaus Deringer</i>	<b>385</b>
<b>United States</b> Casey Cogut and Sean Rodgers <i>Simpson Thacher &amp; Bartlett LLP</i>	<b>391</b>
<b>United States, Delaware</b> Rolin P Bissell and Elena C Norman <i>Young Conaway Stargatt &amp; Taylor, LLP</i>	<b>396</b>
<b>Uzbekistan</b> Artem Klimenko and Ravshan Rakhmanov <i>Colibri Law Firm</i>	<b>402</b>
<b>Venezuela</b> Jorge Acedo <i>Hoet Peláez Castillo &amp; Duque</i>	<b>407</b>
<b>Vietnam</b> Tuan Nguyen, Phong Le, Hanh Bich, Huyen Nguyen, Hai Ha and Thuy Huynh <i>bizconsult law LLC</i>	<b>411</b>
<b>Zambia</b> Sharon Sakuwaha <i>Corpus Legal Practitioners</i>	<b>417</b>
<b>APPENDIX: International Merger Control</b> David E Vann Jr and Ellen L Frye <i>Simpson Thacher &amp; Bartlett LLP</i>	<b>423</b>

# Hong Kong

## John E Lange and Peter Davies

Paul, Weiss, Rifkind, Wharton & Garrison

## David M Norman

David Norman & Co

### 1 Types of transaction

How may businesses combine?

Businesses may combine through one of the following forms:

- private acquisition of the target company's shares;
- private acquisition of the assets comprising the target company's business;
- general offer to shareholders to acquire the target company's shares; and
- scheme of arrangement with the target company's shareholders under sections 166 and 166A of the Companies Ordinance (scheme).

Purchasers may pay in cash, securities, other assets or a combination of the same.

We use the term 'Hong Kong companies' to mean corporations incorporated in Hong Kong and 'listed companies' to mean corporations whose securities are listed on the main board of the Stock Exchange of Hong Kong Limited (Stock Exchange). Listed companies may be incorporated in Hong Kong or certain other jurisdictions, for example in China, the Cayman Islands or Bermuda.

Takeovers of 'public companies in Hong Kong' (which includes listed companies and companies with significant numbers of shareholders in Hong Kong) are subject to the Code on Takeovers and Mergers (Takeovers Code) published by the Securities and Futures Commission (SFC). Such takeovers may be conducted by way of general offer or scheme. A scheme is a court-supervised process whereby the shareholders of a company agree to a reorganisation of the company's capital structure. To be successful, a scheme must receive the affirmative vote of 75 per cent by value and a majority by number of each class of shareholders present and voting in person or by proxy at the relevant meeting and be sanctioned by the courts. The courts have stated that the test for different classes is based on similarity or dissimilarity of legal rights against the company, not on similarity or dissimilarity of interests not derived from those legal rights. If meetings are incorrectly constituted the application for court sanction may be dismissed. Additionally, where the scheme is used to effect a privatisation of a public company in Hong Kong, the Takeovers Code requires that the scheme also be approved by at least 75 per cent of the votes attached to the disinterested shares (namely, shares not held by the offeror or its concert parties) cast in person or by proxy at a meeting of the holders of the disinterested shares, and that are no more than 10 per cent of the votes attached to all disinterested shares voted against the scheme.

Assuming the scheme vote is passed, it will take effect once sanctioned by the court. If a scheme is successful the offeror will typically obtain all outstanding shares of the company. If the scheme fails, the offeror will obtain no shares. Schemes are therefore typically used where the offeror seeks to take the target private but is not certain that it can obtain the necessary supermajority to squeeze out a recalcitrant minority (see answer to question 14).

A general offer to shareholders involves the purchaser offering to buy all outstanding shares of the target company from its existing shareholders. A mandatory offer under the Takeovers Code must not be subject to any condition other than that the offeror has obtained or successfully tendered for shares carrying over 50 per cent of the target's voting rights (a voluntary offer may be subject to additional conditions). Unlike a scheme, it is possible for a general offer to partially succeed so long as the 50 per cent threshold is met. In general, takeover offers are simpler and quicker to implement than schemes of arrangement.

Incremental stake-building may require the purchaser to make a mandatory offer to all shareholders of the target company. A mandatory offer will be required when the offeror, with any persons acting in concert with it, obtains or consolidates control over at least 30 per cent of the voting rights attached to the shares of the target company, among other events.

For 12 months after an offer or scheme is withdrawn or lapses, the offeror and its concert parties may neither acquire voting rights that would result in having to make a mandatory offer nor announce or be part of a concert party that announces a subsequent offer.

### 2 Statutes and regulations

What are the main laws and regulations governing business combinations?

The main laws and regulations governing business combinations in Hong Kong are the following:

- the Companies Ordinance;
- Rules Governing the Listing of Securities on The Stock Exchange of Hong Kong Limited (Listing Rules) and related Guidance on Listing Matters;
- the Takeovers Code;
- the Transfer of Business (Protection of Creditors) Ordinance;
- the Securities and Futures Ordinance (SFO); and
- the Competition Ordinance.

A new Companies Ordinance was passed on 12 July 2012 (the Companies Ordinance 2012) which will replace the existing Companies Ordinance except for the insolvency provisions and the prospectus regime. It is anticipated that the substantive provisions of the Companies Ordinance 2012 will become effective in 2014.

Hong Kong is a bilingual jurisdiction. Corporate documents and those published pursuant to the Takeovers Code, Listing Rules and Companies Ordinance are required to be prepared in both English and Chinese.

### 3 Governing law

What law typically governs the transaction agreements?

Overwhelmingly, agreements to acquire Hong Kong companies are governed by Hong Kong law.

In a private acquisition, the main document will be a share or asset purchase agreement. Asset acquisitions may also require registrations or formalities for specific asset classes (eg, real estate). Generally, obligations may only be transferred with the consent of the obligee, although under the Transfer of Business (Protection of Creditors) Ordinance, a company's purchaser will also assume liability for the debts and obligations of the target unless appropriate notices are given. Acquisitions of public companies in Hong Kong are governed by an offer document explaining the terms of the offer or scheme and convening the requisite shareholder meetings, each of which must be circulated to shareholders of the target company, and also require an announcement of a firm intention to make an offer and a circular from the target's board stating its view as to the merits of the offer and recommendation as to whether or not to accept it.

If an offer involves the purchaser offering securities (to the public or a section of its private investors), a prospectus may in certain circumstances also be required.

#### 4 Filings and fees

Which government or stock exchange filings are necessary in connection with a business combination? Are there stamp taxes or other government fees in connection with completing a business combination?

Private asset acquisitions do not need to be registered in Hong Kong unless registration is part of the transfer of ownership of a specified asset class (eg, real estate).

Most of the public documentation for takeovers of public companies in Hong Kong, including the offer document, any relevant prospectus and most requisite regulatory announcements, is required to be cleared by the SFC or the Stock Exchange of Hong Kong Limited.

Private share acquisitions need not be registered with any official authority. However, stamp duty is chargeable on transfers of Hong Kong shares (see question 18). Hong Kong companies may not register the change of ownership of their shares without evidence that stamp duty has been paid or the transaction is exempt.

The SFC charges a fee for examining draft offer documents. The fee is assessed on a sliding scale based on the purchase consideration. The fee runs from HK\$25,000 to HK\$500,000 plus 0.01 per cent of the value over HK\$2 billion.

#### 5 Information to be disclosed

What information needs to be made public in a business combination? Does this depend on what type of structure is used?

There are no specific publicity requirements for transactions by or involving unlisted companies that are not public companies in Hong Kong.

Under the SFO, listed companies are required to disclose all 'inside information' as soon as reasonably practicable. 'Inside information' means information that is specific, is not generally known to that segment of the market that deals or is likely to deal in the listed company's securities, and which, if known, would be likely to have a material effect upon the price of the corporation's securities. There are safe harbours for issuers to delay disclosure. These include where the disclosure is prohibited by law or a court order, where appropriate steps to maintain confidentiality are put in place and confidentiality is actually maintained, and where the information either concerns an incomplete proposal or negotiation or is a trade secret. The SFC may waive a disclosure obligation either unconditionally or subject to conditions (specified on a case-by-case basis). Failure to comply with the obligation to disclose such information may give rise to civil and criminal liability.

Under the Takeovers Code, where the target is a public company in Hong Kong, a public announcement may need to be made in relation to any intended offer. This obligation applies regardless of

which structure is used. Once a firm intention to make an offer for the target company has been formed, the offeror and the board of the target company would be obliged to disclose it. Market speculation or unusual trading movement may also give rise to an obligation on any or all of the offeror, controlling shareholder of the target or the target's board to announce any possible offer. The announcement must contain the offer terms, identities of the offeror and its ultimate controlling shareholder, details of existing shareholdings (including derivative shareholdings) by the offeror or its concert parties, conditions to the offer, details of any material arrangement relating to the shares and details of any agreements which relate to the circumstances in which the offeror may seek to invoke a pre-condition or condition to its offer and the consequences of doing so. The target company must set up an independent board committee to make recommendations to shareholders, having obtained competent independent advice, as to the acceptance or rejection of the offer. A scheme requires substantially the same disclosures.

Once an offer has been launched and until it has been completed, all dealings by the target company, the offeror or any of their associates (which term is very broadly defined) in the target company's securities must be publicly disclosed no later than 10am on the next business day after the transaction date and must be disclosed in writing to all bidders and the target (or their respective financial advisers) and to the SFC.

If a prospectus is required in relation to any share issuance, it must comply with the contents requirements under the Companies Ordinance and (if the issuer is listed) the Listing Rules. It must include sufficient information to enable a reasonable person to form a valid and justifiable opinion of the relevant securities and the financial condition and profitability of the company at the time the prospectus is issued.

Untrue statements in a prospectus may make the directors, promoters and any other persons authorising issuance of the prospectus liable to any subscribers of the securities in question who sustain loss by reason of any such untrue statements. Such individuals may also be liable to imprisonment and a fine if the statement in question was material and the individual cannot prove that he believed in its truth and had reasonable grounds for so believing. A statement is deemed to be untrue if it is misleading in the form and context in which it is included, or if it is a material omission. There are also civil and criminal liabilities under the SFO for contents of and omissions from documents.

#### 6 Disclosure of substantial shareholdings

What are the disclosure requirements for owners of large shareholdings in a company? Are the requirements affected if the company is a party to a business combination?

The disclosure obligations in Hong Kong are highly complex. Under the SFO all directors of listed companies must disclose any interest in the listed company's shares. Direct or indirect interests of others in 5 per cent or more of any class of voting securities in a listed company ('long positions') must also be disclosed, as must certain short positions. Interests may be aggregated where a group of shareholders acts together ('concert parties'). The obligation also applies to an interest in the underlying shares of equity derivatives. Much of the complexity of the rules arises from determining the aggregation of shareholdings. Family holdings and companies in the same group are likely to have their holdings aggregated. 'Interests' are defined broadly and include not just acquisition of securities but also derivatives, entering into contracts to acquire securities, control of the exercise of any right attaching to the securities or the right to acquire an interest in any securities. Events giving rise to the disclosure obligations are referred to as 'relevant events'.

Exemptions exist for small acquisitions or disposals (ie, ones that do not cross whole percentage points), taking up rights issues to maintain the same percentage shareholding and for wholly-owned



subsidiaries of a company whose parent complies with the disclosure obligations. Certain trustees, pension and other provident fund schemes, collective investment schemes and trading by regulated financial institutions are also exempted in limited circumstances. These exemptions are narrowly applied, and many are not applicable to initial notifications or to a person who is a director of the relevant listed company.

Most disclosures must generally be made within three business days after the occurrence of the relevant event (or, if later, of the relevant person becoming aware of the relevant event). Failure to comply can result in criminal liability.

## 7 Duties of directors and controlling shareholders

What duties do the directors or managers of a company owe to the company's shareholders, creditors and other stakeholders in connection with a business combination? Do controlling shareholders have similar duties?

Under the current regime, drawn from the Companies Ordinance and case law, directors' duties include:

- to exercise reasonable skill and care in the performance of their functions as directors;
- to declare any interest in a contract or proposed contract with the company;
- to act in good faith in the interests of the company;
- to avoid any conflicts of interest or of duties owed by the director; and
- to exercise the powers of a director only for their proper purpose.

The current regime arises from the Companies Ordinance and case law (including English common law). The Companies Ordinance will be replaced when the Companies Ordinance 2012 comes into force. Further information on the proposed changes to directors' duties is set out in 'Update and trends' below.

Directors' duties are owed to the company itself rather than to any individual shareholder, but a director may in some circumstances owe a specific duty of care to individual shareholders.

Controlling shareholders owe no comparable duties. However, Hong Kong law provides various protections to ensure that the interests of minority shareholders are not abused.

## 8 Approval and appraisal rights

What approval rights do shareholders have over business combinations? Do shareholders have appraisal or similar rights in business combinations?

Under the Listing Rules, if an acquisition by a listed company exceeds certain size thresholds with regard to various metrics (such as turnover, ratio of assets to liabilities, net profit attributable to the underlying assets or equity capital ratio), the acquisition will require shareholder approval. Shareholder approval is usually required if any of those ratios is 25 per cent or more. Connected transactions (that is, transactions involving persons who are directors or substantial shareholders of the listed company or their related (in a broad sense) persons) may also require the approval of independent shareholders if they exceed specified materiality thresholds.

The articles of association of a Hong Kong company may specify that shareholder approvals are required for certain actions or grant pre-emptive rights to shareholders. In the context of private companies, this is particularly common in joint ventures where a minority shareholder has veto rights. In addition, under the Companies Ordinance, certain matters require shareholder approval, including amendments to the company's memorandum and articles, increases in the company's authorised share capital, disposal of fixed assets of a company in a listed group in excess of 33 per cent of the disposing company's fixed assets and a change of the company's name, all of which may be features of a business combination.

As mentioned in question 1, a scheme requires the approval of a majority in number including a 75 per cent majority by value of the shareholders of each class present and voting at the court meeting. If the target is listed and the scheme is used to take the target private, the shareholder approval will also need to meet the thresholds relating to disinterested shareholders set out in the Takeovers Code.

Shareholders have no general appraisal right. However, takeovers of public companies in Hong Kong require the appointment of an independent adviser who must produce a fair value opinion for the benefit of the company's shareholders.

## 9 Hostile transactions

What are the special considerations for unsolicited transactions?

Hostile takeovers are permitted in Hong Kong and are subject to the same regulatory considerations as those in which the offeror has the support of the target's board of directors. The primary practical differences include that an uncooperative board will be reluctant to provide non-public information to an unwelcome bidder and that schemes of arrangement are effectively impracticable in a hostile context as they involve steps such as convening shareholder meetings that require the board's co-operation. In practice hostile takeovers are invariably made by way of general offer to shareholders.

The paths available to the boards of public companies in Hong Kong to discourage would-be hostile bidders generally require shareholder approval. Under the Takeovers Code, once an offer has launched, issuing new securities, undertaking significant transactions or entering into contracts outside the ordinary course of business would generally not be permitted without express shareholder approval. The Takeovers Code also contains a number of principles that restrict a board's ability to stymie a hostile bid, including that:

- once the board knows of a bid, it may not take any action to frustrate the bid, or deny the shareholders of the target an opportunity to decide on the merits of an offer, without express shareholder approval (in general meeting);
- information must be disseminated equally to all shareholders; and
- directors of target companies must act only in their capacity as directors without regard to their personal or family shareholders or personal interests.

Hostile takeovers remain a rarity in Hong Kong, principally because most listed companies are closely held, with small groups of shareholders (often families) controlling a significant voting majority and thus controlling the board of directors.

## 10 Break-up fees – frustration of additional bidders

Which types of break-up and reverse break-up fees are allowed? What are the limitations on a company's ability to protect deals from third-party bidders?

The regime in Hong Kong permits certain types of deal protections. Break-up fees are allowed under the Takeovers Code if they meet the following criteria:

- the fee is no more than 1 per cent of the value of the offer;
- the target's board and independent financial adviser confirm that the fee is in the best interests of the target's shareholders; and
- the arrangement is fully disclosed in both the announcement of a firm intention to make an offer and the scheme or offer document.

Offerors may also obtain irrevocable undertakings from existing shareholders under which the shareholders agree to accept the offer or attempt to build a stake separately from the takeover process. For stake building, care should be taken to comply with the disclosure rules and to consider the potential impact on minority squeeze-outs (see answer to question 14 below) and on the offer price.

### 11 Government influence

Other than through relevant competition regulations, or in specific industries in which business combinations are regulated, may government agencies influence or restrict the completion of business combinations, including for reasons of national security?

Generally, no. Merger control legislation has been passed but has not yet come into force outside the telecommunications sector, and no commencement date for the general regime has been announced.

Many Hong Kong companies are holding companies whose operating businesses are located in China, which has a merger control regime and restrictions on foreign investment. In such cases, Chinese government approvals may be required.

### 12 Conditional offers

What conditions to a tender offer, exchange offer or other form of business combination are allowed? In a cash acquisition, may the financing be conditional?

All general offers under the Takeovers Code must be conditional on the offeror obtaining at least 50 per cent of the voting rights attached to the shares of the target company. If the offer is mandatory (that is, was triggered by the offeror's and its concert parties' building or consolidating their stake in the target), the offer must otherwise be unconditional except as the SFC specifically consents.

Voluntary offers may be subject to additional conditions, often including regulatory approvals, the offeror's shareholder approval and stock exchange approvals as well as obtaining a higher percentage of the voting rights (for example, 90 per cent of shares under offer, the threshold for a minority squeeze-out under Hong Kong law). An offer should not normally be made subject to conditions that depend on the offeror's own judgement or the fulfilment of which is in the offeror's hands. Additionally, an offeror should only invoke the non-fulfilment of a condition as a reason not to close if the circumstances giving rise to the offeror's right to terminate the offer are of material significance to the offeror. Material adverse change conditions are permitted to the extent that they do not contain any subjective element, but may not be enforceable in light of these restrictions.

In acquisitions including a cash element (or other assets other than new securities to be issued by the offeror), the announcement of the offer must include confirmation by the offeror's financial adviser or by another appropriate third party that resources are available to the offeror sufficient to satisfy full acceptance of the offer. The SFC may request evidence in support of this statement. Accordingly, there can be no condition relating to the availability of financing.

### 13 Financing

If a buyer needs to obtain financing for a transaction, how is this dealt with in the transaction documents? What are the typical obligations of the seller to assist in the buyer's financing?

In acquisitions of unlisted companies, there is no restriction on a purchaser including a financing condition in the share or asset purchase agreement (although such a condition is likely to be unpalatable to most sellers in most circumstances). There is typically no obligation on a seller to assist in the buyer's financing.

Acquisitions of public companies in Hong Kong are subject to the Takeovers Code. While the Takeovers Code does not require cash offers to be guaranteed, the announcement of the offer must include a confirmation that the offeror has sufficient resources available to it to fulfil the offer, so that sufficient financing for the offer must be in place before the offer is announced. The offer or scheme document itself must include a description of how the offer is being financed and the source of finance unless the offer is a cash offer seeking to privatise the target in which there has been no waiver of the condition as to acceptances.

The current Companies Ordinance prohibits a Hong Kong company or its subsidiaries from giving financial assistance for the purpose of acquiring shares in the company except in limited specified circumstances. This prevents direct leveraged takeovers using the target company's assets (as opposed to those using the offeror's own credit), and covers guarantees, security, indemnities, loans or other kinds of financial assistance. Dividends out of lawfully available profits would not constitute financial assistance. The Companies Ordinance 2012 retains the concept of financial assistance but will relax the prohibition where the board gives a solvency statement in a specified form, the financial assistance is provided within a specified period and such assistance is approved by the board and shareholders. A company may take actions other than financial assistance to aid an offeror in obtaining financing, so long as doing so does not contravene the financial assistance rules or constitute action which could result in a bona fide offer being frustrated or in the shareholders being denied an opportunity to decide on its merits. If a public company in Hong Kong is incorporated outside Hong Kong, the rules of its home jurisdiction should also be taken into account.

### 14 Minority squeeze-out

May minority stockholders be squeezed out? If so, what steps must be taken and what is the time frame for the process?

Minority shareholders in a Hong Kong company may be squeezed out if the offeror is a corporation, has offered to acquire shares in the company and goes on to acquire, during the period of four months beginning on the date of the offer, at least 90 per cent by value of the shares that are the subject of the offer. To exercise this right, the offeror must give notice to each minority shareholder in a prescribed form within at least five months after the offer date. Within two months after giving the notice, the offeror must pay the consideration to the target company and send the target company a copy of the notice together with a signed instrument of transfer. Once the 90 per cent threshold has been achieved, offerees are granted a corresponding right to require the offeror to acquire their shares.

If the target is a public company in Hong Kong, the Takeovers Code will also apply. The Takeovers Code provides that in addition to the 90 per cent threshold above, or, in the case of a non-Hong Kong company, to any threshold set out in the company law of such company's home jurisdiction, the purchaser must also have obtained at least 90 per cent of the shares held by disinterested shareholders (namely, the shareholders other than the purchaser and its concert parties).

A scheme will bind all shareholders immediately upon becoming effective (which entails court sanction), meaning that a purchaser can acquire a 100 per cent shareholding in the target company as soon as the scheme has taken effect, without needing to go through a separate squeeze-out procedure.

### 15 Cross-border transactions

How are cross-border transactions structured? Do specific laws and regulations apply to cross-border transactions?

There are no specific rules or regulations governing cross-border transactions in Hong Kong and no statutory restrictions on the shareholding in a Hong Kong company that may be held by a foreign entity. The structuring of cross-border transactions is typically driven by tax considerations and by any regulatory considerations that may apply in the foreign jurisdiction (in particular, if the target company directly or indirectly carries on business in China).

**Update and trends**

The Companies Ordinance is being replaced by the Companies Ordinance 2012, and a new Competition Ordinance has been introduced creating an antitrust regime in Hong Kong that will ultimately prohibit mergers that would substantially lessen competition in Hong Kong (initially, just in the telecommunications sector). It is expected that the substantive provisions of both will come into force during the course of 2014.

Among the relevant changes introduced by the Companies Ordinance 2012 are:

- introducing a statutory duty on directors to use reasonable care, skill and diligence as objectively and subjectively assessed;

- abolishing the headcount test for schemes of arrangement involving a takeover offer (ie, that in addition to the other requirements a majority of shareholders present and voting at the court meeting shall vote in favour);
- relaxing the prohibition on financial assistance for both listed and unlisted companies where the directors confirm the company's solvency and (depending on the size of the transaction) shareholder approvals are obtained; and
- introducing a statutory procedure for amalgamations (ie, mergers) of companies under common ownership without requiring court approval.

**16 Waiting or notification periods**

Other than as set forth in the competition laws, what are the relevant waiting or notification periods for completing business combinations?

There are no specific waiting or notification periods except for the minority squeeze-out provisions described above and particular requirements under sector-specific legislation.

In the case of an offer governed by the Takeovers Code, the offer document must be posted within 21 days (for a cash offer) or 35 days (for a securities offer) after the offer has been announced. The final day for an offer to close is 60 days after the announcement unless the SFC agrees otherwise. If there is a competing bid, the timetable will be adjusted and the SFC must be consulted with. The timetable for a scheme is generally longer than for a general offer due, among other things, to the need to accommodate the court's timetable.

**17 Sector-specific rules**

Are companies in specific industries subject to additional regulations and statutes?

Yes. Regulatory approvals are required for changes of control in the sectors of financial intermediation, banking, insurance and broadcasting. In addition, under the Telecommunications Ordinance, telecommunications licence-holders are prohibited from engaging in conduct having the purpose or effect of preventing or substantially restricting competition in the operation of public telecommunication services. This is supplemented by the Competition Ordinance which, when its substantive provisions come into effect (expected to be in 2014) will introduce a merger control regime for transactions that have or are likely to have the effect of substantially lessening competition in Hong Kong. Initially, the merger control regime will apply only to mergers involving telecommunications carrier licensees.

**18 Tax issues**

What are the basic tax issues involved in business combinations?

Hong Kong levies a transfer tax (stamp duty) on written agreements for the sale of Hong Kong shares (principally, shares in either a Hong Kong company or in a Hong Kong listed company). Stamp duty is also charged on other transactions in which a beneficial interest in Hong Kong stock is transferred.

Stamp duty is currently levied at a rate of 0.2 per cent in total on the higher of the amount of the consideration or of the value of the shares. Each of the buyer and seller of the shares is liable for half of this amount.

Certain asset transfers may also attract stamp duty. Stamp duty on the sale or transfer of land (immovable property) is levied on a sliding scale starting at HK\$100 where the consideration is HK\$2 million or less and rising to 4.25 per cent where the consideration is greater than HK\$21,739,130. The Hong Kong government has announced an increase in stamp duty is due.

A stamp duty exemption is available for intra-group transfers of shares or immovable property. The exemption applies to corpora-

tions in respect of which one is at least 90 per cent owned by the other, or at least 90 per cent of the share capital of each is under the common ownership of a third corporation. The exemption is only available where all such entities are corporations rather than natural persons. Relief is also available in the context of share borrowing and lending, transactions with certain government entities and certain transactions with the managers of unit trusts as well as for charitable donations and gifts in consideration of marriage.

No capital gains tax is payable on asset transfers. Asset transfers other than immovable property are generally not liable for tax unless the disposal is in the nature of the trade of the seller (in which case corporate profits tax may be charged). Hong Kong also does not charge withholding tax on the payment of dividends to overseas shareholders.

Many Hong Kong companies are owned by offshore holding companies offshore, notably in the Cayman Islands and British Virgin Islands. Many also have operating subsidiaries in China. In cross-border transactions involving Hong Kong companies, it is therefore important to consider the tax laws of these jurisdictions on tax structuring.

**19 Labour and employee benefits**

What is the basic regulatory framework governing labour and employee benefits in a business combination?

The primary law relating to labour and employee benefits in the context of a business combination is the Employment Ordinance. The Employment Ordinance is part of a broader set of legislation including among others the Minimum Wage Ordinance, Mandatory Provident Fund Schemes Ordinance and Employees' Compensation Ordinance.

There is no automatic transfer of employees when a business is transferred. By default, if the ownership of a business changes, the employment contracts with the seller will terminate. Employees whose contracts are terminated in this way are entitled to claim for dismissal and may be entitled to severance payments unless the buyer makes a valid offer to re-employ them and the employee unreasonably refuses this offer. The characteristics of a valid offer are that it takes effect on or before the termination date, that the terms of employment are no less favourable to the employee than those in force immediately prior to the termination and that it is made to the employee not less than seven days prior to termination by the seller. The requirement that employees are offered terms no less favourable than those previously enjoyed means in practice that buyers often maintain transferred employees on their previous terms. There are no equivalent protections for employees acquired as a result of a transfer of shares of their employer company.

The Employment Ordinance provides that an employee who has been in continuous service with the same employer for at least 24 months is in most cases entitled to severance payment on being laid off, dismissal for redundancy or on the expiration of a fixed-term contract. Employees who have worked not less than five years for



the same employer under a continuous contract may additionally be entitled to a long services payment depending on the circumstances of their dismissal.

## 20 Restructuring, bankruptcy or receivership

What are the special considerations for business combinations involving a target company that is in bankruptcy or receivership or engaged in a similar restructuring?

This is a complex area, a full discussion of which lies outside the scope of this chapter. In general, when buying a company in receivership or liquidation, the first consideration is conducting due diligence to ensure that the receiver or liquidator has been validly appointed and has obtained good title to the target.

Hong Kong has no concept of the 'debtor-in-possession'. Financial acquisitions of insolvent companies therefore typically involve the purchaser acquiring the assets of the target directly from the receiver or liquidator. A receiver or liquidator will not give extensive warranties and representations as to the condition of the business.

## 21 Anti-corruption and sanctions

What are the anti-corruption, anti-bribery and economic sanctions considerations in connection with business combinations?

Anti-corruption laws in Hong Kong are enforced by the Independent Commission against Corruption (ICAC), within the statutory framework of the Prevention of Bribery Ordinance (POBO) and the Independent Commission Against Corruption Ordinance (ICAC Ordinance). The POBO criminalises bribery in a number of commercial contexts, including giving assistance in regard to contracts. Typically, share or asset purchase agreements will include a representation given by the seller that no third party has been paid any commission or finder's fee in connection with the bid.

In addition to Hong Kong's own laws, cross-border transactions involving Hong Kong companies typically involve the purchaser requesting a representation from the seller that the target company and any subsidiaries are in compliance with the anti-corruption, anti-bribery and sanctions laws of the jurisdictions in which the target and its subsidiaries do business as well as the key international regimes such as the United States Foreign Corrupt Practices Act and the UK Bribery Act 2010, as well as US, UK and European sanctions regimes.

**Paul | Weiss**  
宝维斯律师事务所

**John E Lange**     [jlange@paulweiss.com](mailto:jlange@paulweiss.com)  
**Peter Davies**     [pdavies@paulweiss.com](mailto:pdavies@paulweiss.com)

12th Floor, Hong Kong Club Building  
3A Chater Road  
Central, Hong Kong  
Tel: +852 2846 0300  
Fax: +852 2840 4300  
[www.paulweiss.com](http://www.paulweiss.com)

**David Norman & Co**

**David M Norman**  
[davidnorman@davidnorman.hk](mailto:davidnorman@davidnorman.hk)

22B Man on Commercial Building  
12–13 Jubilee Street  
Central, Hong Kong  
Tel: +852 2805 2792  
Fax: +852 2851 0097  
[davidnorman@davidnorman.hk](mailto:davidnorman@davidnorman.hk)

**GETTING THE DEAL THROUGH**

**Annual volumes published on:**

- Acquisition Finance
- Air Transport
- Anti-Corruption Regulation
- Anti-Money Laundering
- Arbitration
- Banking Regulation
- Cartel Regulation
- Climate Regulation
- Construction
- Copyright
- Corporate Governance
- Corporate Immigration
- Data Protection & Privacy
- Dispute Resolution
- Dominance
- e-Commerce
- Electricity Regulation
- Enforcement of Foreign Judgments
- Environment
- Foreign Investment Review
- Franchise
- Gas Regulation
- Insurance & Reinsurance
- Intellectual Property & Antitrust
- Labour & Employment
- Licensing
- Life Sciences
- Merger Control
- Mergers & Acquisition
- Mining
- Oil Regulation
- Outsourcing
- Patents
- Pharmaceutical Antitrust
- Private Antitrust Litigation
- Private Equity
- Product Liability
- Product Recall
- Project Finance
- Public Procurement
- Real Estate
- Restructuring & Insolvency
- Right of Publicity
- Securities Finance
- Shipbuilding
- Shipping
- Tax on Inbound Investment
- Telecoms and Media
- Trademarks
- Trade & Customs
- Vertical Agreements



**For more information or to purchase books, please visit:**  
[www.GettingTheDealThrough.com](http://www.GettingTheDealThrough.com)



Strategic research partners of the ABA International section



THE QUEEN'S AWARDS  
FOR ENTERPRISE:  
2012



The Official Research Partner of the International Bar Association